IS THE 'DESIRE' DESIRABLE

(SWAMI SHUDDHABODHANANDA SARASWATI)

.....Continued from previous issue

Disciple: Yes guro, but if I am not impertinent, may I ask another question?

Guru: Go ahead.

Disciple: It is true that the desire is a produced entity whereas *saguna-brahma* or *Isvara* is the Creator. Therefore we are told that *Bhagavan's* statement 'I am *kaama*' does not mean an equation in the form of '*Isvara* is equal to *kaama*'. But the *sruti* itself tells us in the form of an equation: 'Sarvam Brahman' (Everything is Brahman). Is there not a contradiction?

Guru: My dear, both these statements are from two different standpoints. The statement from the Gita takes for granted the Isvara, jagat and everything that is there in it at the level of vyavahara to describe Isvara's glories which are useful to mumukshus and devotees in their saadhanaa. But the sruti declarations such as sarvam Brahma' is only to reveal the immanent (sarvavyapi) nature of Brahman as the basis (adhisthana) of the entire adhyasta jagat. The jagat has no independent existence apart from Brahman. Such sruti statements do not intend to confer the status of nirvikari (changeless) Brahman on the vikari (ever-changing) jagat. The samanadhikaranya (juxtaposition) 'sarvam Brahma' is used only for the sake of dissolving Creation (prapancha-pravilapanartham) (Br.Su.bh.1-3-1). The principle is: though the jagat is non-different (ananya) from Brahman on account of the cause-effect relation between the two, the true nature of jagat is Brahman but the true nature of Brahman is not jagat (Br.Su.bh.2-1-9). Thus the equation 'Sarvam Brahma' holds good but not 'Isvara is kaama'. It is just like the nature of the mistaken silver (rajata) in the place of sea-shell (shukti) is the shell, but the nature of shell is not the silver.

Oh disciple, are you convinced by now that desires are not desirable for a *mumukshu*?

Disciple: Yes *guro*, but I find that it is very difficult to control desires because senses (*indriyas*) run to the sense-objects forcefully.

Guru: You are right. There is a reason for that. The bodies of all jivas are meant in general to undergo the bhoga (enjoyment and suffering) according to one's karmaphalas. It requires the contact of indriyas with the external vishayas. Therefore Isvara has created indriyas extrovert (paraanchi) by their nature. But sensing the danger of jivas being immersed in samsara for ever, Isvara has provided a provision of withdrawing the mind and the indriyas from the sense-objects and make them introvert by viveka and vairagya to gain the atmajnana (Kathopanishad, 2-1-1). Therefore a mumukshu has to take a right about-turn from extrovertedness to develop vairagya. But vairagya is possible only when the viveka is highly mature. Viveka dawns only when durita-kshaya (ending of past sins) takes place. It depends on discharging one's duties in dedication to Isvara as enjoined by the scriptures. This shows that gaining atmajnana is certainly difficult. It is not that easy as picking up informations from Vedantic texts and parroting them. It needs perseverance with perfect eligibility until the aparoksha Brahmajnana is gained.

Disciple: Revered *guro*. One main question still lingers. How does desire or extrovertedness obstruct the pursuit of gaining *atmajnana* because of which *Bhagavan* Krishna totally condemns it?

NATURE OF ATMAJNANA AND ITS PREREQUISITE

Guru: This question originates from the non-understanding of the exact nature of atmajnana, how can it be gained, and what is its most essential prerequisite. In the case of *pratyaksha* (direct perceptual knowledge) and atmajnana / Bhrahmajnana, an antahkarana-vritti (thought) totally conforming to the entity to be known which can serve as its replica is indispensable. Such a vritti with respect to vishayas is called vishaykara-vritti (vritti having the form of sense-object) or in general tattadakara-vritti (vritti having the form of the entity to be known).In the case of atmajnana / Bhrahmajnana such vritti is called atmakara or Brahmakara or akhandakara. Atma/ Brahman has no akara (form), but its nature is atyanta (completely) nirmala (pure-free from all the drishya jagat that is adhyasta / superimposed on it), svaccha (clear – completely unconnected to the virtues and vices of all drishyas illumined by it) and sukshma (subtle / nirguna / free from all attributes). But Isvara has created the buddhi (antahkarana) capable of assuming the exact nature of atma-chaitanya free from all that is superimposed on it. This needs an antahkarana which is totally introvert and not at all extrovert or preoccupied in the drishyas on account of desires, sense-objects and karmas thereof. In short without such *vritti* the *avidya* of *atma* and its effect the *jagat* cannot be ended. Thus, knowing atma is to end the adhyaasa of ignorance (avidya) with its effect the drishya jagat (Bhashya, B.G. 18-50 and Br. U. 1-4-10). The following quotes will corroborate this fact. If an individual gains tattvajnana (atmajnana), then itself his desires cease. Tattvajnana and desires cannot co-exist like 'light and darkness'. (Yogavaasishtha, Ni.U. 37-30).

Sureshvaracharya highlights the above fact in *Panchikarana-vartika*: 'A *jnani* whose mind is absorbed steadfastly in *atma* does not perceive the *drishya-jagat* '.

Sage Ashtavakra warns: 'Oh Son! You may learn or even teach different *shaastras* many a times. Nevertheless, your mind will not get absorbed in *atma* unless you withdraw from all *drishyas*'. (*Ashtavakra-gita* 16-1).

Mumukshus should know very clearly why the mind or antahkarana totally free from the experiences of drishyas or preoccupation in them alone can know atma. Consider an example. Suppose there is a person who does not know that the sugar is sweet. He is given a pinch of sugar. But to know it, he has to be aware of that sweetness exactly as it is through a vritti corresponding to sweetness for which he has to taste it. If he is aware of its sweetness because of tasting and yet knows not that it is sugar, it needs to be introduced by a person who knows the sugar, by saying: 'what you have tasted now is sugar'. Thereby he gains its knowledge. The same is the case with the knowledge of atma ('I') which is aparoksha (svaprakasha, svayamjyoti, self-evident).

Atma is simultaneously anubhava-svarupa (self-experiencing principle), jnapti-svarupa (self-knowing principle) and cit-svarupa (self-awareness principle). These are not three distinct natures of atma but one and the same nature, expressed differently. We are experiencing or are aware of atma moment by moment as 'I', but erroneously. We do not know it exactly in its true nature of being totally free from all the features of adhyasta (superimposed) drishyas which comprise the calamitous samsara.

Vedanta describes the means by which all the *adhyasta drishyas* on 'I' (atma) can be ended wherein the seeker becomes aware of atma exactly what it is in its real nature free form sukha-dukhatmaka samsara. This is called shodhita (nirupadhika) tvam pada (the 'you' in 'tat tvam asi' 'you are Brahman/tat'). Let us remember that in the state of ignorance, atma ('I') is available as anubhava-svarupa with upadhis i.e. it is sopadhika anubhava-svarupa. But when atma is made shodita (nirupadhika) tvam pada by ending the adhyasta drashyas, it remains as nirupadhika anubhava-svarupa. Its nature of being self-experiencing principle (anubhavasvarupa) does not become extinct in any state of antahkarana. Atma does not cease to be anubhava (experience) by itself in any state whether it i sopadhika (with upadhis) or nirupadhika (free from upadhis). The accomplishment of shodita tvam pada wherein one is aware of one's nirupadhika nature is the first step in gaining Brahmajnana because that itself Brahman. Shodita tvam itself as Brahman is indicated by the pramana such as 'tat tvam asi' mahavakya. Then what remains is described synonymously by the phrases such as anubhava-svarupa, jnapti-svarupa or cit-svarupa (self-evident) atma identical with Brahman. aparoksha atmajnana,that is aparoksha Bhrahmajnana which is self-experiencing without triputi. Anything short of it is only paroksha-jnana, which cannot end ignorance or liberate. It is incapable of ending the pursuit of *sukha-prapti* and *dukha-nivritti* which is the sine qua non of samsara. Bhashyakara points out in adhyasabhashya that the actions of a paroksha-jnani is similar to that of cattle etc. (pashu aadibhihi avisheshaat).

The mumukshus must bear in mind that mahavakya pramana such as 'tat tvam asi' will not operate unless one is directly (aparokshatayaa) aware of or experiences shodhita tvam as (nirupadhika I / atma) or what is called nirupadhika anubhava-svarupa atma free from the features of samsara in contrast to the sopadhika anubhava-svarupa atma experienced with all samsara comprising sukha dukha (joys and sorrows) in the state of ignorance. To say that such experience of shodhita (nirupadhika) tvam pada is not necessary because atma is anubhavasvarupa does not end the problem of sorrowful samsara. The anubhava-svarupa atma alone brings invariably the calamitous samsara presented to us by inert antahkarana-vrittis (called avidya-pratyayas) in the ambit of our experience. The samsara, bondage, transmigration etc. are exclusively our problems and not that of atma. Atma has none of them nor moksha. It is always in its Paramaananda svarupa whether mithya Creation is present or absent. Even attaching a label that the samsara is 'mithya' (false) because the sruti-pramana says so is not a solution. It does not end the samsara. If adhyasta samsara is anubhava-siddha for the jiva, its absence also in the self-evident atma should be equally annubhava-siddha. That is precisely the sodhita-tvam pada accomplishes. It reveals to us directly (aparokshataya) the nirupadhika anubhava-svarupa atma free from even the triputi. The sruti provides the means to gain it. That is why bhashyakara emphasizes that to gain the knowledge, 'I am Brahman' (Aham Brahma asmi) the entire adhyasa beginning from self-ignorance onwards has to be ended (Br. U. bh. 1-4-10, B.G. bh. 18-50). Vedanta is not a lip-service or some psychological consolation. Sutrabhashya makes it very clear when it describes the finale of Brahmajnana in its statement: 'Anubhavaavasaanatvaat Brahmajnanasya – अनुभवावसानत्वात् ब्रह्मज्ञानस्य (Br.Su. Bh. 1-1-2). That is where the role of sadhana-catushtaya, suddha-antahkarana, citta naishchalya, sarvakarma sannyasa etc. to be eligible to gain atmajnana becomes meaningful. This should make it clear that the Vedantic pursuit is not an academic study. Moreover without the aparoksha anubhava of shodhita (nirupadhika) tvam (you) i.e. 'I' in its true nature, the mahavakya will be akin to introducing a person to a schizophrenic whose basic perception of the person being introduced is already distorted.

The equation of the *mahavakya* points out that *tat* and *tvam* are identical. This will hold good only if there is awareness of *tvam* (you, i.e. 'I') exactly in its true nature totally free from the features of *samsara* including all the *upadhis*. The *tvam* (you i.e. 'I') as experienced now as *samsari* identified with *upadhis* cannot by itself be the *nirupadhika tat pada* – Brahman. In the absence of *shodhita tvam* the *sruti-pramana* will fail to produce *atmajnana*.

An extrovert (bahirmukha) mind cannot accomplish shodhita (nirupadhika) tvam pada. Therefore a totally introvert (antarmukha) pure mind is indispensable. This requires a total abstinence from desires, vishayaasakti (love for sense-objects), karmas, sense-indulgence or anything else that demands the mental pre-occupation. That is why Vedanta insists on the eligibility in terms of sadhana-catushtaya, shuddha antahkarana, citta naishchlya, amaanitva etc. The Mundakopanishad (3-2-4) clearly declares that atma cannot be gained by tapas (knowledge) that is alinga (devoid of sannyasa). The eligibility to take to sannyasa and the conduct of sannyasis as envisaged by the sruti are such that by strict adherence to them a sannyasi alone can command a totally introvert mind necessary to gain atmajnana and nishtha (steadfastness) therein. Perfect vairagya, no vishayaasakti, total freedom from karmas, no possessions (aparigraha), having well developed antahkarana-shuddhi, shama, dama etc., living in solitary place, total shraddhaa in Isvara for the sustenance of his body, no talk of worldy things or anatma (Mu.U.2-2-5), and strict adherence to the conduct of Sannyasis make him totally introvert. By the mention of sannyasa (alinga), the sruti does not mean just the external marks. There must be total commitment to gain atmajnana. It cannot be a part-time pursuit. Mundaka sruti expresses this fact in its statement : 'The person who longs for (vrunute) gaining atma by all means, to him alone atma reveals (vivrunute) its true nature' (Mu.U. 3-2-3). It is well-known that desires invariably prompt the extrovertedness of the mind. Therefore desires cannot co-exist with the introvert mind which is so indispensable to gain atmajnana and nishtha in it. Desires and introvert mind are like light and darkness.

Oh disciple, is it clear to you by now as to how desire obstructs the pursuit of gaining atmajnana and why *Bhagavan* condemns it so vehemently?

Disciple: Yes, revered *guro*.Now I can see very clearly why the desire is not desirable to a *mumukshu*.

Om Namo Gurubhyah.

Arsha Vidya Newsletter

Annual Subscription: Rs.180/-

Published by V. Sivaprasad
Trustee, Sruti Seva Trust, Anaikatti, Coimbatore 641108

Edited by

Ramachandran.S.N-94879 11949

Printed by B. Rajkumar, Rasi Graphics Pvt. Ltd.,

40 Peters Road, Madras 600014. Ph. 28132790, 28131232